



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5753
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of NaCl Solution on the Quality Control of 'Surimi' From Anchovy (<i>Clupeonella</i> spp.) During the Frozen Storage
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment GENERAL COMMENTS: 1) The manuscript content is simple, original and also very interesting. 2) The manuscript has some useful information for processors, researchers and consumers. 3) Experiences are well planned. 4) Results are adequately evaluated. 5) Discussions should be expanded. 6) Conclusions - Ok	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> <i>Dear Reviewer, Thank you for your nice comments, all corrections was done accordingly.</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION 1 st paragraph is so large – please divide into 2 parts RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Authors must to expand the discussion	Corrections has been done The results and discussion has been expand as reviewer suggasted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		